## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/535,010	SMITH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	JERRY T. RAHLL	2874		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 February 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on tapplication, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appear for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CI periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)</li> </ul>	lvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exteunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shat forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	in which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compli filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cond  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	TE below);		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
<ul> <li>4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>			•	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allonon-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e.	xplanation of	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (F 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
	/Michelle R. Connelly-C Primary Examiner, Art U			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the amendment of 31 August 2007 did not necessitate the new grounds of rejection of the Office Action mailed 27 November 2007. However, as discussed on Page 6 of Applicant's remarks received 31 August 2007, Brienza does not describe a spot having static periodic intensity.

Applicant further argues that Laming does not describe a method of writing a waveguiding channel with an increased refractive index. The Examiner asserts that the grating writing method described by Laming produces a waveguiding channel with an increased refractive index. The channel produced by Laming does provide a channel for guiding light. The fact that this channel is designed to be used in conjunction with the larger described waveguiding channel does not mean that the grating described by Laming should not be considered a channel when the structure of the grating does have a channel structure.

The width of the spot described by Laming has a width related to the width of the channel. The width of the spot is greater than the width of the channel. The proposed amendment language included at Page 7 of Appicant's Remarks received 27 February 2008 are appreciated and may make the claims allowable in view of Laming. However, such an amendment would require further consideration and search of the prior art and would not be entered in an after-final amendment..